

Before The  
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20554

RECEIVED

MAR 30 2004

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

In the Matter of	)	
	)	
Amendment of Section 73.202(b),	)	MM Docket No. 01-154
Table of Allotments,	)	RM-10163
FM Broadcast Stations.	)	
(Goldthwaite, Texas)	)	

APPLICATION OF CHARLES CRAWFORD FOR REVIEW

1. Charles Crawford applies to the Commission to review the Report and Order released March 19, 2004 by the Assistant Chief, Audio Division, Media Bureau.

2. Given the staff's reliance on the Commission's decision in Benjamin and Mason, Texas, FCC 03-327 (January 8, 2004) involving similar circumstances, no purpose would be served by lodging a Petition for Reconsideration here. Accordingly, we file this Application for Review, incorporating by reference the Application for Review filed by Mr. Crawford and related papers including Mr. Crawford's Reply to Opposition to Application for Review in the Benjamin and Mason, Texas case, currently before the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit, sub nom. Crawford v. F.C.C. and United States of America, Case No. 04-1031.

3. As is shown therein, the conflict between Mr. Crawford's petition to allote channel 297A to Goldthwaite, Texas, and the proposed allotment of channel 233C3 at Quanah, Texas, arises at step number fourteen in a humongous counterproposal extending hundreds of miles from Oklahoma to the Texas Gulf coast where, in


No. of Copies rec'd  
List ABCDE

014

the effort to add another FM station in the Austin, Texas, market, changes would have to be made at stations in Waco, Texas, Georgetown, Texas and Lakeway, Texas. And for all of those changes to be made, a radio station in Llano, Texas, would be required to change (a) its transmitting location and (b) its operating channel from 242A to 297A. Application for Review, dated February 4, 2003 at 7.

4. It is irrational and unlawful to hold that Mr. Crawford was on fair notice of this potential for conflict. The Quanah channel (233) and the Goldthwaite channel (297) are widely spaced and pose no potential for mutual exclusivity. Under court and agency precedent examined in the Application for Review incorporated herein, the more than 200 mile distance between Quanah and Llano is not geographic proximity that might be a warning sign regarding mutual exclusivity. Id. at 12-20.

Respectfully submitted



---

Law Office of Gene Bechtel, P.C.  
Suite 600, 1050 17th Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20036  
Telephone 202-496-1289  
Telecopier 301-762-0156

Counsel for Charles Crawford

March 30, 2004

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE


I certify that on this 30th day of March, 2004, I have caused copies of the foregoing APPLICATION OF CHARLES CRAWFORD FOR REVIEW to be placed in the United States mails, first class, postage prepaid, addressed to the following:

Mark N. Lipp, Esq.  
Vinson & Elkins  
1455 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20004

Lawrence N. Cohn, Esq.  
Cohn and Marks  
1920 N Street, N.W., Suite 300  
Washington, D.C. 20036  
Co-counsel for Rawhide Radio, LLC

Gregory L. Masters, Esq.  
Wiley Rein & Fielding LLP  
1776 K Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20006  
Counsel for Capstar Tx Limited Partnership,  
Clear Channel Broadcasting Licenses, Inc.

Matthew L. Leibowitz, Esq.  
Leibowitz & Associates, P.A.  
One Southeast Third Avenue, Suite 1450  
Miami, Florida 33131  
Counsel for Next Media Licensing, Inc.



---

Gene A. Bechtel